From: [redacted]
To: South East Anglia Link
Subject: Value for money
Date: 18 September 2026 14:00:04

[You don't often get email from bozy34@icloud.com. Learn why this is important at https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification]

With the recent disclosure of the true actual expected cost of the sealing proposal being in excess of 320 Billion, and then the infra structure will need further improvement from Minster to Canterbury I fail to see how this is value for money for the consumer, who will eventually bear the brunt of these costs. There is a cheaper option of 300 million that does not destroy as much of the habitat of the red and endangered sources of animal and birds. Surely sense and reason must prevail and the cheaper alternative site must be used.
Yours sincerely
Eva Boswell
[redacted]
Sent from my iPhone